1-230

G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references 1920. (Muzrai) **File No.** 2-10

Serial Nos. 1-10.

Later references.
Muz 21-23-1-4
" 35-24-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 10

Part B for February 23.

SUBJECT

Water rate due from lands Belonging to Bhikkheswaraswami temple in the Bivekanahalli village T. Narasipur Taluk

To. The Muzrai Commissioner
The Comptroller
No. 2304-2 § 16-2-23

Passes orders re water Cess due etc from ———

Printed on the Multigraph.

-4-07.

G.R.S. 11]

1910-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 2/10

FILE HEADING.

Water rate due from the lands belonging to the Sri Bhikshesvara Swami Temple in the Binakanahally village T. Narasipur Taluk.

From

The Dep. Comr. Mysore Distt

No. 7 Dated 2-7-10 Received 4-7-10

Diary No. 9 | Enclos 1 file Spare Copies | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction to a bill for Rs 320-8-1 being the water rate due from the lands belonging to the Sri Bhikshesvara Swami Temple in the Sarvamanya Binakanahally village T. Narasipur Taluk.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Binakanahally is a Sarvamanya village belonging to the Sri Ahobala matt. There are some lands in this village belonging to the Sri Bhikshesvara Swami temple on which a water rate of Rs 320-8-1 is due to the Inamdar, the Swamis of the Ahobala matt. As the dues

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

evenue
cretariat.

Mus File No. 2 of 1910

Serial No.

dues are reported to have been paid up & the water rate above mentd are due to the Iraindar from the Temples.

The details of lands &c are as follows:-

S.No	Extent ac fs	assts.	water rate	local cess	irrigation cess	Total
173	2-9	15-0-0	20-13-5	3-2-6	3-0-6	27-0-5
174	1-27	9-8-.				
175	5-23	14-.				
176	1-34	12-.				
190	2-12	15-.	...	0-15-0	0-15-0	1-14-0
191	5-31	3-8-0	..	-3-6	...	0-3-6
6 lands.	(18) 19 16	69	20-13-5	4-5-0	3-15-6	29-1-11

The water rate, local cess, irrigation cess come to Rs 29-1-11 per annum; and the Iraindar claims this sum for 11 years viz 320-5-1 may this be granted? orders solicited

PL
12/7/10

The land it will be seen are worthy which it is not clear why any water-rate should be levied. Perhaps the Karnam's profit will be more clear.

The lands are in an Inam Village of the Sri Ahobila Mutt. The arrears of 11 years are claimed. The claim for more than three years does not appear to be tenable.

It will also be seen that nothing has been derived from the four fasli lands (vide Amildar's remarks in the list). Iraindar received complaints of this. This should be noticed

Slip A.

NOTE—Contn sheet

ue

at.

3

ile No. 2 of 1910

Serial No.

125

26 Submitted to Committee

Ahmednagar

14/7/1910

6 The information given is not sufficient to judge whether the claim is admissible or not.

7 Are the lands 173, 175 & 176 for which water rate is claimed inam belonging to the Temple? They were apparently classed as wet at the survey. If so, why should water rate be paid? When & by whom & under what authority was it imposed? Is it payable by the Temple or by Ryots actually cultivating the land. A detailed examn of the claim shd be made & a report submitted as to how much is properly due & legally recoverable?

16/7/10

Asst Supdt's initials

16/7/1910

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue tariat.

Mrs File No. 2102 of 1910

4

Serial No.	
1	Submitted with a DF. above AD 19.7.10 RL - 19/7/1910
2	Do, the Dy Comr, Mysore Divn. letter no. 325 dy 20-7-1910 calls for further particulars on certain points noted therein.
3.	Thro, the Dy Comr, Mysore Divn. letter no 265 dy 15.6.20 Submits report called for in the above. The Dy. Comr's letter goes fully into the facts of the case. It is not known how the Temple Lands were settled at the Inam settlement. Submits with a DF. to the Inam Supdt. 5/8/20 BG 5.8.20
4.	2. Inam Supdt in Mysore No. 4206 dy. 10.6.20. Calls for Copy of Inam Settlement-Registers in the matter
5	Thro, the Inam Supdt. Letter no. 234 dy 18.6.1920 Submits the inam extract.

Note—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

General and Revenue - Secretariat.

Duty File No. 2 of 1920.

Serial No

(5) The Crown have settled a Devalaya for the benefit of Pattiniyars & Sri Kanda temple

(7) The By-laws framed under Ordinance No. 19 of 1856 for the better administration of the said Devalaya

[illegible] 26/3/20

The facts have been fully set out in the Dr's letter under disposal.

The Dean Regr. and Chas. that the lands in question which are situated in Dunakaru-halli Sanna Dam Village (belonging to Ambala Matt) have been reg'd as Devalaya & Dam in the name of the Temple.

The Landlord Temple Mahamalle & their ahemudi one Varasunhathan.

By Alahenyalathuwa [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

a - and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 1/10. of 191

Serial No.

If the land The last assessment on the lands from date of survey settlement is payable for Temple funds. If the Matt has paid it, it is entitled to refund,—. that the temple lands being resumed from the possession of the holders —.

Weery) AMT 6/7/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

What is the real claim
~~the~~ Alagappachetty & Nara-
yanna Chetty has laid
to the temple for the
years for which water
rate is now claimed by
the Sarvamanyam Trust?

2. If the temple has not had
the water rate from the
Ryots, how is it liable
to make it good to the
Sarvamanyam Trust

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwd File No. 2 of 19 10

Serial No.	
6	To, the Dy. Comr, Mysore Dist, No. 215 dt 16.4.22. Called for further information in the matter.
7	From, the Dy. Comr, Mysore Dist, Letter no. 265 dt 28.8.1922. Submits further report called for in Sl. 6.
	25 The Dy. Comr's. report gives full information on the points raised in Sl-6.
	26 In respect of temple lands S. Nos. 173, 174, 175 and 176, from 1899-900 to 1919-20, a sum of 91-14-4 has been paid to the temple as Kushi Kandayam by ~~the Head~~ Nanjundachar, as well as a total sum of ~~Rs~~ (225-0 + 234-1-0) 459-1-0 on account of water-rate.
	Thus a total sum of ~~Rs~~ (459-1-0 + 91-14-4) 550-15-4 has been received from Nanjundachar
	28 In respect of S. Nos. 19 and 191 (church and burial ground) [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19 10.

Serial No.

of Rs 6/96/- has received from the lessees and is being credited to the Temple Fund in addition to a sum of Rs 5 31 — 15 — 10 probably Kuthi Kandayam and water-rate. Rs have already received a sum of Rs 704 4 3, from the Sarvadhikaryakar towards water-rate, it is proposed to refund the amount from the Temple Fund as the Dy. Comrs. admits that it is a practice of refunding an excess recovery, the details of such recovery will be worked out and the refund made. One copy is under the standing order.

2 Mr. Dy. Comrs. Comments are view of the fact that [illegible] Sarvadhikaryakar's statement [illegible]

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

[III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

VI File No. of 19

Serial No.

[illegible]

30 May the Dy. Comr. be requested to find out a defined line for purchase of the Rly. land, through the Rly. Comr. take steps from the Chairman Rly. Board, and also [illegible]

31 The Hon'ble Com'r may perhaps be consulted confidentially on the broad points raised.

32 B.L. 6.9.22

2595-311. 26.9.22.

First Member

32 The case may be referred to the Rly. Comr. for submission of [illegible] his opinion in [illegible]

21.9.

Rly Branch 28/9/22

28.9.22

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

and Revenue Secretariat. | Mug File No. 2 of 19 10-11

(11

Serial No.	
8	To The Mangalore Commr in Mysore no: 1485 & 17th Oct 1922. Invites opinion of the Mangalore Commissioner in the matter.
9	From, the Mang. Comr, Letter no. C. 346 dy 8-1-1923. Submits opinion called for in Sl. 8.
	36 The Mang. Comr summarises the several points involved in the case and gives his opinion on each of them. (1) As regard reimbursing the Amildar of Kirkanahalli i.e. Sri Ahobila Mallé the amount paid to Govt on account of the S.R. on all the S. nos, but of the amounts received from Ramachandrachar and the lessees of S. nos 100 and 191 and credited to Temple funds the Mang. Comr is of opinion that The refund of the amounts may be sanctioned.
	(2) 38 As regards reimbursing from the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

of Narasimhachari the inam lands belonging to the temple and leasing them out to the best advantage of the institution, the Inam. Comr thinks for reasons stated in para 3 of his letter under reference, that it is now quite open to Govt to oust him from the possession and enjoyment of the land and lease them out to the best advantage of the institution.

3) (3) Regarding the procedure to be adopted in future in regard to the payment of water-rate on temple inam lands, the Inam. Comr states that if the inamdar of the village is prepared to recover the water-rate from the occupants of the land and hold himself responsible for its payment to Govt along with the fasli cle. if any, agreed by him to Govt. it is desirable to leave the recovery in his hands and include the water rate in the inam

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(13

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inwz File No. 2 of 1910 30

Serial No

The Inamdar. Otherwise the kisteu rate has to be recovered from the tenants direct along with the rent due to the temple and credited towards Govt dues in which case the inamdar will have neither right nor liability hereafter.

(vi) The question of the restoration to the temple of Bhikheswara, the 18 guntas of land gifted to the Jeeyar temple at D. Narasipura by the late Narasimhachar is also mentioned as one of the 7 points. Reference is made to this subject in the concluding para of the Sub Divl officer's memo no. L. A.C. 136 of 20-4-1 ~~Contained~~ received with the Revenue Enquiries, though there is Govt. General Communication on the subject, one exists on this point. With regard to this question the Inwz Comr. states that this appears to be a case of unauthorized alienation

NOTE—Contn sheet

S/III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

1380

(11

File No. 2 of 19 19-11

Serial No.

an untenable gift, and that as any portion of the land belonging to Bikkeshwara temple or any income derivable therefrom cannot be allowed to be lost to it, the gift made by Maistri Arasingacharlu has to be declared as invalid and the alienated land resumed and restored to the temple.

Submitted for orders

M — 8/1
11. 1. 23. 11/1/23

Put up a Clear Draft in accordance with the R. C's opinion

13/1/23

Draft G.O. Enclosed.

M — 8/1
19/1/23

Fair Draft C/22

Read on 29.1.23.
M

Submitted.

M 29-1-23 8/1 30/1/23

First Member 1/2/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

9.7.0.

S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 2 of 19 10-11

Serial No.

6342-39
2.2.23

As regards point No. 1 in Government the Mamlatdar of Sirsi should of the amount of water rate paid by Govt the recommendation of the ME is ~~considerable~~ dependent upon a certain precedent. But information on this point is not clear. This may be explained. I agree to the other recommendations made by the ME.

Rec
5.2.23 - 11 8 2/23

3

There is a statement in the Vern record which shows that a sum of Rs 706-4-3 is due to Sarvani Sirsi on account of water rate fees by them up to date in arrear.

Clear, but there is no clear information as to the amounts which The lessees owe to the temple. The Dy. Comr refers that as it is a question of an excess, he will look into the accounts of receipts.

The refund in this connection

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

138?

16

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 2 of 19 10 – 11

Serial No.	
	Under Standing orders. Submits. 6.2.23 Field Publicity 7/2/23
6468-165 7.2.23	Secn. to put up proposal 8.2.23
	No. 2301-2 dt 16/2/23 to the Govt. Concur. & the Compln. Passes orders as to the Cess due from the Temple of Sri Bhis-Keswara Swami in Bobbilamudi in T. Narasapur TK.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

VII Kan 4/7 (4)

1910-11 29

From M.F. 2-10-2
Mr Kantaraj Urs Esqr B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
C. S. Balasundaram Esqr B.A
Offg Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Genl and Rev Departts, Mysore
Branch

Dated

Despatched 2nd July 1910

Received

General No. 7
No.

Enclosure No 1 file

Requests for sanction
a bill amounting to Rs 320-5-1
(three) the water rate due from
the lands belonging to the Sri Bha-
kteshwaraswami temple, in the
Sarvamanya Binakanahalli village in
T. Narsipur Taluk

K.V.
Sanction
BL
4.7.10

12

No. 7
Enc. No. file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 2nd July 1910.

To
C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.
Offg: SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Inamti Branch

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Bina-kanahally village in the T. Narsipur Taluk is a Sarvamanya village belonging to the Sri Ahobala matt. Some lands in this Sarva-manya village belong to the Sri Bhikshe-swara Swamy temple at T. Narsipur. It is reported that water rate to due to Government by the Inamdar have been paid up by the Agent of the Ahobala matt and that a sum of Rs 320-5-1 being the water rate due from the lands belonging to the Sri Bhiksheswara Swami temple

is

to Iramdar.

The Sub divisional officer has therefore submitted a bill for Rs 320-5-1 with the accompanying yadast No. 344/1050 dated 15th June 1910 and I request that the same may be sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit, the charge being ordered to be met out of the funds at the credit of the temple.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

A Narasimhachar

Fr Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

26]

Diary No. of letter replied to 21-4-10

File No. 2-10

Serial No 218

No. 395

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

To

The Dy. Commr,
Mysore Distt

Sir,

Date of issue

Signed by

With reference to your letter no 7 dy 2nd July 1910 soliciting sanction to a bill for Rs 320 and odd being the water-rate due from the lands belonging to the Sri Sri Bhukeshwara Swamy Temple in the Binakanahally village, T. Narsipur Taluk, I am directed to observe that the information given is not sufficient to judge whether the claim is admissible or not. I am therefore

over.

SUBJECT.

to request that a detailed examination of the claim should be made and a report submitted as to how much is properly due and legally recoverable.

I am to add that information on the points noted below may also be furnished:—

(1.) Are the lands 173, 175 and 176 for which water-rate is claimed in any belonging to the temple?

(2) ~~Were they each apparently classed as such~~ As they appear in the Survey ~~if they were apparently~~ classed as such at the Survey, why should water-rate be paid?

(3). When or by whom and under what authority was it imposed?

(4). Is it payable by the temple or by the raiyats actually cultivating the land?

I have etc.,

Secretary

19.7.10

19/12/15

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

SG CPB—20,000-19-12—04.

2103

19 20

Rev

2-10.

3

16

2. 9

1919-20.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to Govt.,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 12th April 1920.

Despatched 17th April 1920.

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Report regarding the lands belonging to Sree Bikeshwara and situate in Servamanya Benakanahalli village, T.Narasipur taluk.

Office of the Deputy Commr

Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore, the 12th April 1920.

FROM

F. F. Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

J. E. Jagarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.325 Muz.2-10-2 dated the 30th July 1910, calling for further information regarding the lands belonging to Sri Bikshesvara, and situate in Servamanya Benakana-halli village, T.Narasipur taluk, I have the honor to report as follows;-

By the Inam confirmation order of the 1st of December 1880 (flag A) dry lands, with a 'Beejavary' of 1 kandy and 1 kolaga and a Beriz of Rs.6-15-9 were confirmed to Sree Bikshesvaraswamy in servamanya Benakanahalli village of T.Narasipur taluk, up to the year 1877 these lands were being cultivated by a number of holders. In that year, one Alasingla char, bought, from these holders, the right to cultivate these lands, and having obtained loans from Government built an aqueduct, and by the use of Government water flowing in the channel converted part of this land into wet. The case was first reported on the Takrar Takhta of 1887-88 and the Jamabandy Officer ordered that water rate, at Rs.4/- per acre of land turned into wet, be recovered from Alasinglachar (Vide Flag B). Alasinglachar protested against the levy of Rs.4 per acre, since he had built the aqueduct at his own cost and was therefore entitled to be treated with consideration. The Government viewed his claim favourably

(18

and ordered that water-rate at Rs.3/- per acre be levied, as per para 2 of C.O. No.5012-20/127 dated the 5th December 1887. When the case came up again on the Takarar Takhta of 1888-89, the Jamabandy Officer ordered that the water rate, which had until then been recovered from Alasinglachar, be added on to Government claims against the Servamanyadar and be recovered from him to avoid unnecessary accounting -vide Flag C.

Flag D

3 This arrangement seems to have continued until the year 1897-98 when the Inam lands of Sree Bikshesvaraswami came under the management of Government and the question of what gutta, in addition, should be recovered from Alasinglachar. Alasinglachar refused to pay anything over and above, khushki Kandayam to the temple and water rate to Government. The dispute between him and Government has still to be settled. The immediate effect of this, however, was that Alasinglachar ceased to make even the payments that he was until then making. And in the year 1900, made over Inam Lands in his possession namely S.Nos.173, 174, 175 and 176 by a sale deed to one, by name Narasimhachar. This latter person too, when called upon to pay some gutta in addition, refused to pay anything over and above what Alasinglachar was paying and followed in the footsteps of his predecessor in title, in that, he also did not make any payments towards the khushki kandayam and water-rate that were due.

But, the Servamanyadar continued to make the payments pertaining to these lands, although he got nothing from the temple or the holder of the Inam Lands. And he sent in a Bill in the year 1909 for a sum of Rs.320-5-1 which amount he had paid to Government in the ten years, from 1898-99 to 1908-09. When this Bill was received the Taluk cutcherry, the Amildar ordered the Compulsion process against Narasimhachar for the recovery of khushki kandayam and water rate due until that date and the latter deposited a sum of Rs.268-

5 Survey and Settlement was introduced into this Servamanya village in the year 1898-99 and it was then found that the khushki endowment of 1880 consisted in the year of Survey and Settlement, of 9 acres and 18 guntas of Dry, and 9 acres and 58 guntas of Wet land, as per details extracted from the Quit-rent Register and shown in the margin. The usual khushki and Tari rates were therefore charged on the land.

The lands in question are Inami to Sri Biksheswara and whatever their condition whether wet or dry. He is always entitled to the khushki kandayam leviable on the land. And the Government are entitled when those lands have been made wet by the use of Government water - not to the wet rate levied on the land by the Survey Department, but to the difference between the wet rate levied, and the khushki rate leviable on similar land - that is water rate. Water-rate and not wet rate has therefore been paid by the Servamanyadar to Government and the Servamanyadar now claims refund of the amount paid by him.

Register No.	Inamdar.	Description of Item.	Description of land.	Old Extent	Old Assessment.
62/291.	Biksheswara	Devadaya	Dry.	1 Kandy & 1 Kolaga.	Rs.6-15-9.

	Survey No.	Extent. A. G.	Assessment.
Inamdar's Land	Wet (173	2 9	Rs.15-0-0
	Wet (174	1 27	9-8-0
	Dry (175	3-27	2-0-0
	Wet (	1-36	12-0-0
	Wet (176	1-34	12-0-0
	(190	2-12	15-0-0
	Dry 191	5-01	3-8-0
		19-16	69-0-0

The other questions raised in the letter under reply have incidentally been answered. All the circumstances relating to the matter have been recounted and I leave it to Government to decide whether the Servamanyadar, who is, by the way, another Muzrai institution, namely the Ahobila Mutt is entitled to a refund of the monies paid.

The temple of Sri Bikeheswara Swami has since lost its identity its resources having been clubbed with the resources of Sri Agastheswara Swami's temple at Tirumakoodlu. Vide G.O. No. 1147-49/Muz.F.619-14 dated 11th November 1915.

The file of connected vernacular papers accompanies.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

-G/32]

ECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....2103

Section Inam

File No. 2-10

Serial No. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the 191

No.

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

To

The Inam Supt

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to forward for reference a copy of the inam settlement Register pertaining to the grant of Ikandy and Hatlaga hilli a beriz of Rs 6-15-9, of inam land in Sarvamanya Benakanahalli Village, Sosale Hobli, old Malkad Taluk

over.

Dear Sirs

for the benefit of Sri
Bhikshesvara Swami temple
at the place.

I have the

Secretary,
Inam Department

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

39(09) 919

DIARY NO. 2644

23

19/9 1920

SECTION Rev 2

No. 234

Enc: one

Office of the Superintendent

Mysore Revenue Survey, 5

Dated, Bangalore 18th June 19.0.

From

M. K. Narashah, Esq., B.A.,

33 Inm Sec'y 8 JUN 1920

Superintendent of Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

T.F. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

GV 21/6/20

Sir,

As requested in your letter No.4,06/Muz.-10-4, dated 10th June 19.0, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the settlement of ~~1-1-0~~ K.K.P. 1-1-0 land to Sri Bikshesvaraswami temple at Binnkan-Halli Survey Inam village in the Tirkad Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M K Narashah

Superintendent.

copy

Register of Inams in the village of Sarvamanya Bin in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
6	Devadaya	Dry	Kuzmojai account 1931-32 K K P 1-1-0	

As per desa

No:	A-G
wet 173	2-1
do 174	1-2
Dry 175	3-2
wet 175	1-3
wet 176	1-3
do 190	2-12
Dry 191	5-31
	19-16

Sammat of Losale in the Taluk of Jalkad

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c or liable to quit-rent, e.g., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9

For Pasitara Deepara-
-thane services
in the temple of
Bikkeswara
which is said to be
in good order &
service rendered.

P.J.V As on P

2-4-0 6-15-9

ed Survey

As P.

15 — 0 — 0

9 — 8 — 0

2 — 0 — 0

12 — 0 — 0

12 — 0 — 0

15 — 0 — 0

3 — 8 — 0

69 — 0 — 0

2771

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE IN

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sunnad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	H. J. I. Account of 1804-5 — — — Sikheswara dewan K K P P. J. V Dry 1-2-0 2-4-0	H. J. I. Account of 1831-32 Sikheswara dewan K K P P. J. V Dry 1-1-0 2-4-0 Do of 1855 P 14 As above K K P P. J. V Dry 1-1-0 2-4-0

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

on

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

1. Whole grant - Devadaya

2. To be confirmed - Free.

(Sd) S. Rajagopalach
6.7.80

Term terminated.
(Sd) Robert Cole
2.8.80.

3. The Survey area in Col 5 & the assessment in col 6 is finally confirmed free of tax.

15-9-1913.

(Sd) J.B. Grant
Supdt. of I.S.

"Copy"

Superintendent of Survey & Inam Settlement Mysore

12.6.1920.

31

R S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 2610

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
the *191*

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Section Yoga
File No. 2-10
Serial No. 6

No. 9,10 Superintendent of Police, [illegible]

To

The In Charge
Police P.

(in)

Reference to your letter
No. [illegible] dt 15th April 1920
reported on the Case of the
Sri Rajeshwara Swami
Temple in Sri Ananthapura
Pandaramsannidhi Vellaga
I have directed to inform
you that [illegible]
[illegible]

over.

on the points noted below:—

(1) What is the rent that Alasingachariar or Narasimhachariar has paid to the temple for the year for which water rate is now claimed by the Samanayadar?

(2) If the temple has received the water rate from the ryots, how it could be made to appear to the Samanayadar, and

(3) Has [illegible] been taken to any case by the Samanayadar or the ryots against the Siruvai Nadan?

I would also request you to send me a copy of your order in this case.

Yours faithfully,

[illegible]

[illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—19-3 19

53

GEN. & CONFL. SECRETARIAT
22-2-5
MUZRAI
803

Muz 2-10-57

19 1621

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 24th August 1957.

Despatched 26th August 1957.

Received 3 AUG '57

General No. _____

No.

Enclosure No

Subject

Submits a report for orders of Government re: the water rate due from the Lands Leased to the Sri Bhikshaswara Swamy Temple in Binakanahalli village, Periyapatna taluk.

[illegible]

[illegible]

AV
31/8

34

Ref. No. 265 [illegible]

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore,..... 22nd August 192
24

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq. B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Revenue Department.

SIR,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.215 Muz.2-10-6 dated 16th July 20, calling for further information on certain points relative to the water rate due from the lands belonging to the Sri Bhikshe-swaraswamy temple in the Binakanahalli village, T.Narasi pur taluk, I have the honor to state that from the file of correspondence received with Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's memo No.H.C.136/20-21 dated 11th July 22 it is seen that: —

(i) From the time the lands came to the possession of Narasimha Char i.e. from 1899-900 to 1919-20 a sum of Rs.91-14-4 has been paid by him to the temple as the rent at the rate the previous holder was paying. This is presumably Khuskhi kandayam payable in respect of S.Nos.173, 174,175 and 176 held by Narasimha Char.

(ii) In addition to a sum of Rs.225/- already recovered on 17th January 1910 from the said Narasimha Char, on account of water rate due on the above lands for the period from 1899-900 to 1919-20, the balance of Rs.234-1-0 which was due from him on the same account, is since reported to have been recovered and given credit to the inam village, under Land Revenue No.12 on 22nd December 1921 in T.Narasi pur taluk Treasury.

In all a sum of Rs.550-15-4 has been recovered from

from him on account of rent and water rate in respect of the above four S.Numbers for the period from 1899-1900 to 1919-20.

In addition to theabove survey numbers, survey numbers 190 and 191 which are also partly wet and partly dry are said to be in possession of the temple and leased out to other persons. The Amildar reports that the annual gutta payable to the temple by the several lessees of these lands is Rs.676/- and that this is being credited to the temple funds.

Also, it is reported that the lessees of survey numbers 190 and 191 have paid rent (probably Kushki kandayam and water rate) to the extent of Rs.531-15-10 up to date. It is partly out of this sum and partly out of the amount recovered from Narasimha Char, the Sarvamanyadar is to be paid back the amount he has paid to Government on account of water rate on all the aforesaid survey numbers 173, 174, 175, 176 and 190 and 191.

As the Government have apparently levied water rate both from the inamdar and the ryots, it is but fair that the inamdar should get back the amount paid by him. The statement enclosed to the vernacular records shows that a sum of Rs.704-4-3 is due to sarvamanyadar on account of water rate paid by him up to date in respect of the lands in question. As it is a question of refunding an excess recovery the details of such recovery will be looked into and the refund made in due course under the standing orders.

(iii.) As regards the third point raised in your letter under reply, it is clear from the enclosed vernacular records that only survey numbers 190 and 191 are under the direct management of Government and that the other survey numbers viz. 173, 174, 175 and 176 are held by Narasimha Char, who is enjoying them paying to the temple only the

the rent i.e.khushki kandayam and water rate to Government. It is further seen from the Sub Division Officers report (dated 27-4-21) that Narasimha Char, the present cultivator of these lands, appears to think that he is a kadim tenant entitled to be in possession of the inam lands for ever, as he has purchased that hak from Alasingra Char who, in his turn, is said to have bought the same from the ~~xxxx~~ then cultivators of the lands. The temple is by such unauthorised ~~sed~~ alienations losing year after year, its lawful income from the lands, which at the present rate of gutta, are likely to fetch at least 8 pallas of paddy per acre every year. Narasimhachar is also reported to be a confirmed defaulter in payment of even the rent (i.e.kashki kardayam) for several years past. The Sub Division Officer is of opinion that he should be evicted from the inam lands in question and the same leased out by auction to the cultivating ryots to the best advantage of the institution.

2. In concurring with the views expressed by the Sub Division Officer, I submit the connected file of correspondence on the subject for reference and orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 803

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 12-10-22

Signed by A7-10-22

Section

File No.

Serial No 8

No. 1485

To

over.



List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

2699

C 346 of 22-23

Office of the Mysore Commissioner in [illegible]

Dated, Bangalore, the 5th January 19[illegible]

From

1380

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,

Mysore Commissioner in Mysore. 22-23

JAN 1923

2-10-9

To

T.R. Jayaruma Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1465/..R.-1[illegible]-3 dated 10th October 1[illegible] forwarding for my opinion the records relating to the [illegible] in respect of the Inam lands belonging to the Temple [illegible] small temple. I have the honour to submit my opinion as under [illegible] for the following points:--

(a) Whether the Inamdar of Manamarakalli (i.e., Sri [illegible] Mutha) who [illegible] amount paid to Government on account of [illegible] all the survey numbers, out of the above [illegible] Mutha, the Gharanadhikaris and the lessees of survey numbers 190 and 191 are [illegible] temple-lands,

(b) Assuming that the possession and enjoyment of Manamarakalli Mutha of the Inam lands belonging to the temple and resting with the Mutha is not in the nature of the institution, but in the [illegible] Mutha,

(c) Whether the [illegible] of Thirthakere and [illegible] to any of the [illegible] temple at Melukote in the taluk of Pandavapura, and

(d) [illegible] to be adopted in [illegible] of the [illegible] of the temple lands.

[illegible] (a), I have to state that, in respect of the Inam [illegible] [illegible] the Mutha [illegible]

Inlet

thereon on their conversion into wet should go to Government and to the temple. If the water-rate in respect of these lands has been recovered by Government from the Inamdar (Matha) and if it has also been recovered from the tenants and credited to temple funds, the Inamdar is entitled to claim that the amount paid by him should be made good from the institution funds which had no right to the water-rate. The refund of the amount may therefore be sanctioned.

(3) As regards (b), unless it can be proved by the present occupant of the lands (the burden of proving which will lie on him) that he derived his title through persons who were registered occupants at the time of the ~~time of the~~ assignment of the inam to the temple, he cannot be treated as a mulgeni tenant. As Narasimhachar has failed to adduce any such proof as has been his contention mainly on the vague and inconclusive wordings of the sale-deeds executed in 1877 and 1887 by certain ryots in favor of one Lakshminarasimhachar and as the lands have been classed as Devadaya during the Inam Settlement of 1860, I think, that it is not quite open to Government to oust him from the possession and enjoyment of the lands and lease them out to the present Incumbent of the institution.

(4) As regards (c), this appears to be a clear case of unauthorized alienation by means of an untenable gift and that as any portion of the land belonging to Phikeshwara temple or any income derivable therefrom cannot be allowed to be lost to it, the gift made by Maistri Alasingachar has to be declared as invalid and the inam land resumed and restored to the temple.

(5) As regards (d), if the inamdar of the village is prepared to recover the water-rate from the occupants of the lands and hold himself responsible for its payment to Government along with the jodi &c., if any, payable by him to Government, it is desirable to leave the recovery in his hands and include the water-rate in the annual demand from the Inamdar. If the Inamdar is not agreeable to this arrangement

ment

arrangement, the water-rate has to be recovered from the tenant direct along with the rent due to the temple and credited to the Government dues in which case the Inamdar will have nothing to do with it hereafter.

(6) The enclosures to your letter are returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Mysore

Mysore Commissioner.

D.N.

SUBJECT 100 C/Rum 67

Diary No. of letter replied to 1380

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 16.2.23

Signed by. Jas T E J Ryan

Section Mun

File No. 2/1910

Serial No. 10

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— Correspondence ending with letter no. C. 346 dy 8th Jany. 1923 from the Commr in Mysore reporting the arrears of water-rate due from the Temple of Sri Bhiskeswara Swami in Buakanahalli Village of Narasipura Taluq, in the Order Thereon No. inam Land in the Village

Order Thereon No.

2301-2/Mun 2-10-
Buakanahalli dtd 16.2.23

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette,
English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

is a Samanaya village belonging to Sri Ahobala matt. The temple of Sri Bhikshesvara Swami owns some inam land in the village. The water-rate due on these lands for some years past, has been recovered from the lessees and credited to the funds of the estate, while Govt have also received from the inamdar of the village i.e. Sri Ahobala matt, the water-rate due on the temple lands in question for the said period. The Inamdar prays for the reimbursement of the amount paid by him to Govt as water rate on the lands, and the Inm. Commr supports the prayer. The details of the recovery will be looked into, and a refund made in favour of the Sri Ahobala matt under the standing order from the funds of the estate.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,'00—21-1

R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

(2) One Narasimhachar is in possession and enjoyment of the inam lands of the temple, and objects to the payment of enhanced ~~rent~~ rates on the ground that he is a ~~Ryot~~ Kadim ~~tenant~~. The Inam. Comr. reports that Narasimhachar has not produced satisfactory evidence in support of his allegation, and recommends that ~~if it is not possible to effect a settlement by which the possession and enjoyment of the lands may be secured to him~~ the lands may be taken from him on the best advantage of the inam.

Govt. accept the recommendation of the Inam. Comr. Necessary action may be taken accordingly.

(3) It is reported that 18 Jantas of the temple land have been

WI 220- GPB 100,000—23 7 20

to the Jeeyar temple at
Narasipore by the late
Maishi Alasingacharu. The
Inv. Comr. considers this to be
a clear case of unauthorized
alienation by means of
an untenable gift and
reports that as no portion of
the land belonging to Sri
Bhashyamara temple or
income derivable therefrom
can be allowed to be lost
to it, the gift in question
has to be declared as
invalid and the main land
resumed and restored to Sri
Krishna-mara temple.
Govt agree with the Inv. Comr
and are pleased to direct that
the temple main land alienated
through gift, be resumed
and restored to the mutt
in accordance with the standing
orders on the subject.

S.R.G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

(4) Regarding the procedure to be adopted in future in regard to the payment of water-rate on temple inam land, the Inam Commr considers that if the inamdar is prepared to resume water-rate from the occupancy of the temple land and hold himself responsible to Govt for its payment, the recovery of the water-rate may be left in his hands. The water-rate included in the annual demand from the Inamdar and that otherwise, it may be recovered from the lands direct along with the rent due to the temple and credited towards Govt dues in which case the Inamdars will have no to do with it

M L 2240—GPB—1 00,000—33 7 20

Govt agree with
Comr in his view, and are
pleased to direct that the
Irans dan be consulted in the
matter and necessary action
taken in accordance with
the instructions given above.

11/12/43

Secy DVD Rec-Secretary We agree
may be given
Yes
DVD
Do, BG 30/11/43
Rec Insp. Comr with the record.
" Comptr Rec.

Rec
8.2.43

# of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G. O. No. 2301-2/Muz. 2-10-10 dated Bangalore, 16th February 1923.

Passes orders *re* payment of arrears of water rate on the lands endowed to Sri Bhikseswara Swami temple, Binakanahalli, T. Narasipur Taluk.

Read--

Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 346 dated 8th January 1923 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore reporting on the arrears of water rate due from the temple of Sri Bhiskeswara Swami in Binakanahalli village, T. Narasipur Taluk, on its inam lands in the village.

ORDER No.2301-2/Muz.2-10-10 DATED 16th FEBRUARY 1923.

Binakanahalli in the T.Narasipur Taluk, is a Sarvamanya village belonging to Sri Ahobala Matt. The temple of Sri Bhikseswara Swami owns some inam lands in the village. The The water rate due on these lands for some years past, has been recovered from the lessees and credited to the funds of the institution, while Government have also recovered from the inamdar of the village i.e., Sri Ahobala Matt, the water rate due on the temple lands in question for the said period. The Inamdar prays for the reimbursement of the amount paid by him to Government as water rate on the lands, and the Muzrai Commissioner supports the prayer. The details of the recovery will be looked into, and a refund made in favour of the Sri Ahobala Matt under the standing orders from the funds of the institution.

2. One Narasimhachar is in possession and enjoyment of the inam lands of the temple, and objects to the payment of enhanced gutta on the ground that he is a kadim tenant. The Muzrai Commissioner reports that Narasimhachar has not produced satisfactory evidence in support of his allegation, and and recommends that the lands may be taken from his possession and leased out to the best advantage of the institution.

Government accept the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner. Necessary action may taken accordingly.

3. It is reported that 18 guntas of the temple land have been gifted to the Jeeyar temple at T. Narsipur by the late Maistri Alasingrachar. The Muzrai Commissioner considers this to be a clear case of unauthorized alienation by means of an untenable gift, and reports that as no portion of the land belonging to Sri Bhikseswara temple or the income derivable therefrom can be allowed to be lost to it, the gift in question has to be declared as invalid, and the inam land resumed and restored to Sri Bhikseswara temple. Government agree with the Muzrai Commissioner and are pleased to direct that the temple inam land alientated through gift, be resumed and restored to the institution in accordance with the standing orders on the subject.

4. Regarding the procedure to be adopted in future in regard to the payment of water rate on temple inam lands, the Muzrai Commissioner considers that if the Inamdar is prepared to recover the water rate from the occupants of the temple land and hold himself responsible to Government for its payment, the recovery of the water rate may be left in his hands, and the water rate included in the annual demand from the Inamdar and that otherwise, it may be recovered from the tenants direct along with the rent due to the temple and credited towards Government dues in which case, the Inamdar will have nothing to do with it.

Government agree with the Muzrai Commissioner in his view, and are pleased to direct that the Inamdar be consulted in the matter and necessary action taken in accordance with the instructions given above.

T. E. JEYARAMA AIYAR,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To—The Muzrai Commissioner with the records.
The Comptroller to Government.